Corylin sensitizes breast cancer cells to overcome tamoxifen resistance by regulating OAS1/miR-22-3p/SIRT1 axis
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Breast cancer (BCa) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related death among women worldwide. At present, the clinical treatment with tamoxifen (TAM) is challenged by the development of drug resistance. To investigate the effect of corylin on TAM resistance in BCa cells, this study investigated the molecular mechanisms involving miRNA-mRNA targets regulated by corylin. The TAM-resistant MCF-7TR and T47DTR cell lines were generated, and it was found that corylin treatment reduced the cell viability of these cells significantly. Furthermore, OAS1 was validated to be highly expressed in TAM-resistant cells, while OAS1 knockdown sensitized MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells to TAM treatment. Meanwhile, OAS1 was also repressed by corylin treatment, indicating that OAS1 was a key regulator of corylin function. Through bioinformatic analysis, the tumor suppressive miRNA miR-22-3p was identified to directly target and inhibit OAS1. Moreover, corylin treatment up-regulated miR-22-3p expression, which thus down-regulated the OAS1 expression. Interestingly, OAS1 itself functioned as a miR-22-3p sponge to repress miR-22-3p expression. Further, SIRT1 was identified to be up-regulated in TAM-resistant cells and participated in the OAS1/miR-22-3p regulatory axis via the miR-22-3p direct target. In conclusion, corylin sensitized TAM-resistant cells to TAM treatment by inhibiting OAS1 expression and modulating the OAS1/miR-22-3p/SIRT1 axis.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BCa) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths among women worldwide (Siegel et al., 2018). Tamoxifen (TAM), the estrogen antagonist, is widely used as a part of chemotherapy for luminal A type BCa patients with positive estrogen receptor (ER+) (Lim & Winer, 2011; Ignatiadis & Sotiriou, 2013). The application of TAM reduces the mortality to 31% (Hultsch et al., 2018). Nevertheless, clinical case reports reveal that around 30% of patients develop intrinsic or acquired resistance to TAM, leading to a lower TAM efficiency and the challenges for BCa treatment (Cao et al., 2019). Thus, it is necessary to elucidate the potential molecular mechanism of TAM resistance and to provide promising approaches to overcome TAM resistance in BCa treatment.

Many studies have reported that various compounds extracted from different Chinese herbal medicines can prevent cancer progression and may be used in clinical treatment (Qu et al., 2020). In this study, corylin, the flavonoid compound extracted and purified from Ptelea corylifolia L. (Fabaceae), was studied for its role in TAM resistance during BCa treatment. As revealed by the previous studies, corylin exhibits anti-tumor and anti-inflammatory activities in cancers such as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (Lu et al., 2018). However, none of the existing studies focuses on the effect of corylin on TAM resistance, and the molecular mechanism of corylin function remains unclear. Here, we demonstrated that corylin sensitized the TAM-resistant MCF-7 and T47D cells to TAM treatment.

The 2′-5′ oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS) family consists of the interferon (IFN)-induced antiviral enzymes, which contains four members including OAS1, OAS2, OAS3 and OASL (Hovnanian et al., 1998; Kristiansen et al., 2011). According to previous studies, the OAS family is involved in different diseases and plays important roles in biological functions like cell growth, apoptosis and gene regulation (Choi et al., 2015; Hancks et al., 2015). In the present study, OAS1 expression increased in TAM-resistant BCa cells, and corylin treatment reduced its expression. In addition, microRNA-22 (miR-22-3p), an extensively studied miRNA that played a role as a tumor suppressor in cancer progression, was firstly identified to target OAS1 directly. MiRNA is a type of non-coding RNA containing 18-22 nucleotides, which exhibits diverse activities in diseases and plays interactive roles in the functions of a variety of extracted compounds. miR-22-3p has been confirmed as a tumor suppressive miRNA in different cancers (Tan et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021). It is also a senescence related miRNA that represses cancer progression by inducing cellular senescence via targeting multiple genes including SIRT1, p21 and MDC1 (Tsuchiya et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2015). Therefore, the potential molecular mechanisms related to the miR-22-3p/OAS1 axis regulated by corylin were investigated in this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Corylin (PHL83287) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The specific OAS1 and SIRT1 siRNAs were designed and synthesized by Sangon (Shanghai, China). All cell culture materials including 6-well plates and T75 flasks were purchased from Corning (USA). The primary antibody against OAS1 was purchased from Abcam.
(USA). The xCELLigence system was obtained from Agilent (USA). SYBR Green mix for q-PCR was purchased from QIAGEN (Germany). Overexpression plasmid pcD-OAS1 and pcD-SIRT1 was designed and synthesized at the Beijing Genomics Institute.

**Cell culture and treatment**

For corylin preparation, corylin was dissolved in DMSO to obtain a stock concentration of 50 mM, then corylin stock solution was diluted with HBSS (Gibco, USA) to prepare a working solution at the concentration of 1 mM. The final concentration of DMSO in the medium was less than 0.02% to avoid the side effect of DMSO on cell viability. HBSS was served as a negative control to corylin treatment. Before testing corylin effect, cells were pre-treated with corylin for 12 h. The Estrogen receptor positive (ER+) and tamoxifen (Tam) sensitive human breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 (HTB-22) and T47D (HTB-133) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37°C. For TAM resistant MCF-7 cell (MCF-7TR) and TAM resistant T47D cell (T47DTR) generation, parental MCF-7 and T47D cells were continuously treated and exposure to 1 μM TAM (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for at least 6 months, and then obtained TAM resistant cells were cultivated and maintained in DMEM medium containing 1 μM TAM.

**Transfection**

siRNAs and miRNA mimics were transfected to the cells with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent (Thermo Fisher, USA). Plasmids were transfected to the cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher, USA). Cells were seeded into 6-well plate at the density of 5x10³ cells/well and cultivated overnight to over 70% confluence before transfection. Diluted siRNAs, miRNA mimics/inhibitor or plasmid with OptiMEM medium (Gibco, USA) to total volume 150 μl/well. Made 150 μl Lipofectamine-OptiMEM mixture for each sample and incubated the mixture with RNA or plasmid-OptiMEM dilution for 5 min at room temperature. The ratio of Lipofectamine and RNAs (plasmid) was 2:1 (v/v). The final concentrations of RNAs (siRNA, miRNA mimics/inhibitor) and plasmids were 25 nM and 1 μg/ml, respectively. Incubated mixture was then added to the cells and cultivated for 48 h. OAS1 overexpression vector (pcD-OAS1) was designed and constructed by Beijing Genomics Institute (Beijing, China). OAS1 and SIRT1 siRNAs were purchased from Qiagen (Germany). miR-22-3p mimics and inhibitor were purchased from Biomics (Jiangsu, China).

**Cell viability assessment**

Breast cancer cells were seeded into 96-well plates at the density of 5 x 10⁴ cells/well and cultured overnight. Before experiment, the background impedance of E-plate 16 (Agilent, USA) was measured by adding 100 μl cell culture media at room temperature. Then 100 μl cell suspension containing all cells of each well in 96-well plate was added into 100 μl media in E-plate. After incubating for 1 h, E-plate 16 was inserted into Xcelligence device (RTCA DP, Agilent, USA). Impedance was monitored every 60 minutes for a period of up to 48 hours.

The relative changes of electrical impedance caused by cell adhesion on surface were calculated and represented by a unitless parameter CI, which was calculated according to the formula: CI=(Zi-Z0)/15, where Zi represents the impedance at an individual point of time during the experiment and Z0 is the impedance at the start of the experiment. Three different frequencies (10, 25 or 50 kHz) and a specific time was used to measure the impedance based on the RTCA DP Instrument Operator’s Manual. Meanwhile, cells were seeded into 96-well plate parallelly in triplicates and cell numbers were counted at the indicated time points.

** Colony formation assay**

MCF-7 and T47D cells with 10 μM corylin treatment were seeded into 6-well plate at the density of 3x10⁴ cells/well. Cells were incubated for 3 weeks until cell colony is visible to the naked eye. Cells were washed twice with PBS after discarding media. Methanol at 800 μl/well was used to fix cells for 30 min and then cells were stained with 0.5% crystal violet for 30 min. After staining, cells were washed with PBS 3 times. Images were obtained through taking pictures with a digital camera (Nikon, Japan) and cell numbers were quantified with ImageJ software.

**Flow Cytometry**

Cell cycle was detected by using propidium iodide (PI, BD Biosciences, No.51-66211E, 1.5 mg/ml). Cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 2x10⁶ cells/well with different treatments. Next, cells were resuspended in binding buffer and stained with 10 μl PI for 10 min at room temperature (avoiding light). Then, the stained cells were analyzed with a flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and DNA contents were quantified. Each sample was tested in triplicate.

**Quantitative Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was isolated with High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche, Switzerland) based on the instruction from the manufacturer. cDNAs were obtained through the total RNA (100 ng) reverse transcription with verso cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher, USA) based on the product instruction. SYBR™ Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher, USA) was used for q-PCR reaction in 15 μl system. Q-PCR reaction cycle: 95°C 30s; 95°C 30s, 65°C 30s, 72°C 30s for 40 cycles. Expression was normalized using the detection of GAPDH using the 2^(-ΔΔCt) method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). Primers used in q-PCR were listed in Table 1.

**Western blot**

Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer, and the lysate was quantified with BCA kit (Thermo Fisher, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total 40 μg protein

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Primers used in q-PCR analysis (5’ to 3’).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS1 forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS1 reverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIRT1 forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIRT1 reverse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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was loaded for electrophoresis in 10% SDS-PAGE gel. After electrophoresis, protein was transferred onto PVDF membrane. PVDF membrane containing protein was blocked by 5% skim milk dissolved in TBS for 30 min at room temperature. Primary antibodies OAS1 (14955-1-AP, Proteintech, USA) and β-actin (Wanlei, China) were diluted into 5% BSA dissolved in TBS at 1:2000. Then, PVDF membrane was incubated with diluted primary antibodies for overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibodies were diluted into 5% skim milk dissolved in TBS (1:10000) and incubated with membranes for 1 h to 1.5 h at room temperature while gently shaking. Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) system was employed for imaging.

RNA immunoprecipitation

RNA immunoprecipitation was performed as previously described (Peritz et al., 2006). AGO2 (anti-AGO2, 1:50, No. 2897s, Cell Signaling, USA) was immunoprecipitated by using 25 μl protein A/G agarose. Trizol agent was used to extract total RNA after immunoprecipitation. qPCR was used to measure levels of miR-22-3p, OAS1 and SIRT1.

Bioinformatic analysis

Expression and clinical data of GSE148878 was downloaded from National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo). Up-regulated genes were enriched in Hallmark gene sets through Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB, https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb/index.jsp). miRNA targets prediction was performed via ENCORI (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/panCancer.php).

Statistics

All data are presented as mean ± S.D. values. Analysis was achieved by two-way analysis of variance and t-test. *P values of <0.05 (*p) or <0.01 (**p) were considered statistically significantly different.

RESULTS

Corylin inhibited the development of ER+ BCa cells

To verify the effect of corylin on the development of ER positive (ER+) BCa cells, different concentrations (0-20 μM) of corylin were used to test the proliferation rates of MCF-7 and T47D cells. As shown in Fig. 1A and 1B, the proliferation rates were measured using the xCELLigence Real-Time Cell Analysis system. As a result, corylin significantly inhibited the proliferation of MCF-7 and T47D cells in a concentration-dependent manner. The half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC50) of corylin on MCF-7 and T47D cells were 10.31 μM and 13.48 μM, respectively. When MCF-7 and T47D were treated with 10 μM corylin, the proliferation rates were significantly repressed (Fig. 1C). Therefore, 10 μM corylin was used in the following experiments. In addition, colony formation assay also indicated that corylin suppressed the colony formation capacity of BCa cells (Fig. 1D). To further explore whether the observed phenomenon was related to cell cycle, flow cytometric analysis was performed. After corylin treatment, the cell cycle of MCF-7 and T47D cells were arrested in G2/M phase compared with control group (Fig. 1E).

Corylin reduced TAM resistance

Next, we generated the TAM-resistant MCF-7 and T47D cells (MCF-7TR and T47DTR, respectively). It was observed that, compared with parental cells treated with TAM, MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells acquired TAM resistance as the cell viability was maintained at a high level (Fig. 2A and 2B). The IC50 values of TAM

![Figure 1. Corylin inhibits development of ER+ breast cancer cells](https://example.com/figure1.png)

(A) The effect of corylin with different concentrations on proliferation of MCF-7. (B) The effect of corylin with different concentrations on proliferation of T47D. (C) 10 μM corylin was selected to test the effect on cell proliferation rate of MCF-7 and T47D cells (**p<0.01). (D) The effect of 10 μM corylin on colony formation capacity of MCF-7 and T47D tested by colony formation assay (**p<0.01). (E) The effect of 10 μM corylin on cell cycle of MCF-7 and T47D tested by Flow Cytometry.
on parental MCF-7 and T47D cells were 9.24 μM and 7.83 μM, respectively, while those on MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells were 15.81 μM and 12.36 μM, respectively. Flow cytometry results also showed that the percentage of apoptotic cells decreased in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells treated with 10 μM TAM compared with that in parental cells as cells in G2/M phase (Fig. 2C). After combined treatment of 10 μM corylin with TAM, the cell viability of MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells significantly reduced (Fig. 2D and 2E). At last, colony formation assay illustrated that corylin suppressed the colony formation capacity of TAM-resistant cells, and less colonies were formed (Fig. 2F and 2G).

OAS1 was regulated by corylin in TAM-resistant BCa cells

To further clarify the molecular mechanisms involved in the corylin effect, differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were analyzed. Gene expression data were obtained from the GEO datasets (GSE148878), where RNA-seq was performed in TAM-sensitive and TAM-resistant MCF-7 cells. Gene expression levels in TAM-resistant MCF-7 cells were compared with those in parental cells as cells in G2/M phase (Fig. 2C). After combined treatment of 10 μM corylin with TAM, the cell viability of MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells significantly reduced (Fig. 2D and 2E). At last, colony formation assay illustrated that corylin suppressed the colony formation capacity of TAM-resistant cells, and less colonies were formed (Fig. 2F and 2G).

Most of the up-regulated DEGs (n=11) were enriched in the gene set of cytokine signaling (Fig. 3B), listed in Table 2. Next, q-PCR was carried out to test the DEGs enriched in the gene set of cytokine signaling. As shown in Fig. 3C, OAS1 was over-expressed in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells, as confirmed by q-PCR. In addition,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OAS1</td>
<td>2’-5’-oligoadenylate synthetase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT1</td>
<td>interferon induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT3</td>
<td>interferon induced protein 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT27</td>
<td>interferon alpha inducible protein 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XAF1</td>
<td>XIAP-associated factor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP3</td>
<td>guanylate binding protein 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYN</td>
<td>LYN proto-oncogene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD36</td>
<td>CD36 molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMB9</td>
<td>proteasome 20S subunit beta 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL15</td>
<td>interleukin 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX2</td>
<td>SRY-box transcription factor 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Corylin sensitizes tamoxifen resistance

OAS1 protein level increased compared with that in parental MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells (Fig. 3D). Thereafter, the specific siRNA pools of OAS1 were transfected into TAM-resistant cells. According to our results, knocking down of OAS1 significantly reduced cell viability of both parental and TAM-resistant cells. The IC50 of TAM was lower when OAS1 was silenced in both parental and TAM-resistant cells, as indicated in Fig. 3E, 3F. Notably, parental cells with OAS1 silencing showed the highest sensitivity to TAM treatment. In the following step, the effect of corylin on OAS1 was measured. In TAM-resistant cells, corylin treatment remarkably inhibited OAS1 at both mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 3G and 3H).

Corylin reduced TAM resistance by regulating the miR-22-3p/OAS1 axis

We further investigated the underlying mechanism by which corylin and OAS1 exerted their biological functions in TAM-resistant BCa cells. By using the online bioinformatics tools such as TargetScan 7.0 and ENCORI, miR-22-3p was predicted to target OAS1 directly (Fig. 4A). Indeed, results of luciferase assay revealed that the reporter vector containing the wild type sequences of OAS1 target (pGL3-OAS1 WT) showed significantly suppressed luciferase activity compared with that of mutant vector (pGL3-OAS1 WT) after miR-22-3p mimics transfection (Fig. 4B). In addition, miR-22-3p was validated to have a lower expression level in TAM-resistant cells than that in parental cells (Fig. 4C). Corylin treatment induced miR-22-3p expression in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells (Fig. 4D) compared with HBSS treatment as a control, while miR-22-3p mimics inhibited OAS1 expression at mRNA (Fig. 4E) and protein levels (Fig. 4F). Interestingly, OAS1 knockdown also increased miR-22-3p expression in TAM-resistant cells (Fig. 4G), which indicated that OAS1 itself sponged the endogenous miR-22-3p and subsequently affected the downstream targets of miR-22-3p.

Corylin reduced TAM resistance by regulating the OAS1/miR-22-3p/SIRT1 axis

Since OAS1 functions as a sponge of miR-22-3p, it is intriguing to reveal the potential downstream target. SIRT1 plays crucial roles in many biological processes such as aging, apoptosis and tumor development. It is a well-studied miR-22-3p target in several cancer types (Tian et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021). Although SIRT1 was not found to be up-regulated in TAM-resistant MCF-7 cells in the GSE148878 dataset, SIRT1 was confirmed to be over-expressed in our TAM-resistant cells, as verified by q-PCR (Fig. 5A). Corylin treatment inhibited SIRT1 expression in TAM-resistant cells (Fig. 5B). Furthermore,
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**DISCUSSION**

BCa is the most common invasive cancer in women around the world and is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths for women only second to lung cancer (Siegel et al., 2018). In clinical treatment, TAM is widely applied for BCa treatment, especially for the prevention of early precancerous lesion and luminal A type with positive ER (Ignatiadis & Sotiriou, 2013). However, the development of intrinsic or acquired TAM resistance makes it challenging to treat BCa with TAM. In our study, we firstly tested the effect of corylin, the main compound extracted from *Psoralea corylifolia L.*, on sensitizing the TAM-resistant MCF-7 and T47D cells to TAM. Natural products extracted from plants or Chinese herbal medicines have been identified to have important activities, such as anti-tumor and anti-inflammation effects (Qiu et al., 2020). However, limited studies have investigated the effects of those compounds on the prevention of TAM resistance. In this study, we revealed that corylin played a role in preventing TAM resistance...
Corylin sensitizes tamoxifen resistance through modulating OAS1 related to miRNA-mRNA targeting.

To verify the exact molecular mechanism of corylin function, we employed the GEO dataset GSE148878 to obtain DEGs in TAM-resistant BCa cells. Among those most significantly up-regulated genes, OAS1 was found to be highly expressed in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells. Indeed, OAS1 and other OAS family members are correlated with the poor prognostic outcomes of BCa (Zhang & Yu, 2020). The high OAS1 expression is associated with a low survival rate in luminal A type cancers (Zhang & Yu, 2020). In addition, the OAS family members are also involved in neutrophil function that regulates the metastatic or recurrent potential of circulating tumor cells (Szczerba et al., 2019). Here, OAS1 was identified to be down-regulated after corylin treatment and was also the direct target of miR-22-3p. Previous studies have illustrated that miR-22-3p usually plays a role of tumor suppressor in different cancer types, including colorectal cancer (CRC) (Sun et al., 2019), ovarian cancer (Zhang et al., 2020), and cervical cancer (Wang et al., 2020), where miR-22-3p inhibits metastasis, attenuates inflammation and induces apoptosis. Interestingly, in our study, OAS1 and miR-22-3p affected each other, since miR-22-3p mimics inhibited OAS1 expression; by contrast, OAS1 knockdown or corylin treatment increased miR-22-3p expression in TAM-resistant cells.

To further confirm the direct interaction among OAS1, miR-22-3p and SIRT1, we performed RNA immunoprecipitation assay to confirm the interaction among OAS1 and SIRT1, and the results showed that both OAS1 and SIRT1 were significantly enriched in miR-22-3p pull-down complexes. Additionally, we also observed that the overexpression of SIRT1 in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells could significantly inhibit cell viability in the presence of 10 μM corylin treatment (Figure 5H). Moreover, the downregulation of SIRT1 by miR-22-3p mimic could significantly increase cell viability in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells treated with 10 μM TAM (Figure 5I). These results suggest that SIRT1 is a direct target of miR-22-3p and plays an important role in corylin-mediated anti-tumor effect.

Figure 5. Corylin reduces TAM resistance by regulating OAS1/miR-22-3p/SIRT1 axis

To further investigate the role of OAS1 in corylin-mediated anti-tumor effect, we performed q-PCR analysis to determine the expression levels of OAS1 and SIRT1 in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells treated with corylin or miR-22-3p mimic. The results showed that corylin treatment could significantly decrease the expression levels of OAS1 and SIRT1, while miR-22-3p mimic could significantly increase the expression levels of OAS1 and SIRT1 (Figure 5A-B). To further confirm the direct interaction among OAS1, miR-22-3p and SIRT1, we performed RNA immunoprecipitation assay to confirm the interaction among OAS1 and SIRT1, and the results showed that both OAS1 and SIRT1 were significantly enriched in miR-22-3p pull-down complexes. Additionally, we also observed that the overexpression of SIRT1 in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells could significantly inhibit cell viability in the presence of 10 μM corylin treatment (Figure 5H). Moreover, the downregulation of SIRT1 by miR-22-3p mimic could significantly increase cell viability in MCF-7TR and T47DTR cells treated with 10 μM TAM (Figure 5I). These results suggest that SIRT1 is a direct target of miR-22-3p and plays an important role in corylin-mediated anti-tumor effect.
was taken into consideration in this study to elucidate the corylin function. In this research, changes in OAS1 expression affected SIRT1 expression, but SIRT1 did not regulate OAS1, which indicated that OAS1 was located in the upstream of the whole regulatory axis. Interestingly, miR-22-3p inhibition abrogated the effect of corylin on SIRT1 expression, suggesting that miR-22-3p mediated the function of corylin depending on the OAS1/miR-22-3p/SIRT1 axis. In addition, both SIRT1 overexpression and miR-22-3p repression largely abrogated the effect of corylin on reducing the cell viability of TAM-resistant cells, which further illustrated that the downstream miR-22-3p/SIRT1 target was necessary for corylin function. Furthermore, SIRT1 also regulated the expression of PAI-1, an independent prognostic factor in BCa (Ota et al., 2007). As reported in previous study, patients with high PAI-1 level benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy (Kim et al., 2016), indicating that corylin potentially executes its function via the miR-22-3p/SIRT1/PAI-1 regulatory axis. However, it still needs further validation. In conclusion, corylin sensitized TAM-resistant cells to TAM treatment by inhibiting OAS1 expression and modulating the OAS1/miR-22-3p/SIRT1 axis.
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