Iatrogenic blood loss due to daily laboratory testing and the risk of subsequent anaemia in intensive care unit patients: case series
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Introduction: Anaemia is associated with a wide range of negative outcomes. Diagnostic blood loss (DBL) may contribute to its occurrence. We aimed to evaluate DBL and its impact on haemoglobin (HGB) concentration and developing anaemia in the intensive care unit (ICU) patients. Methods: A study group comprised of 36 adult ICU patients. DBL during 7 consecutive, post-admission days was calculated. Anaemia occurrence was assessed using the WHO thresholds. Data on HGB and haematocrit (HCT) was subjected to analysis. Results: Upon admission, 24 (67%) patients were diagnosed with anaemia, on the eighth day 29 (80%) subjects (with 6 new cases). The median volume of blood collected was 143.15 mL (IQR 121.4–161.65) per week. No differences in DBL were found between the subjects with newly developed anaemia and their counterparts (p=0.4). The median drop of HGB (HbΔ) was 18 gL⁻¹ (IQR 5–28) and the median drop of haematocrit (HtΔ) was 4.55% (IQR 1.1–7.95). There was no correlation between neither HbΔ and DBL (p=0.8) nor HtΔ and DBL (p=0.7). There were also no differences in HbΔ/HtΔ when age, gender or the primary critical illness were taken into account for the analysis (p>0.05 for all). The 7-day fluid balance was associated with haemoglobin drop (R=0.45; p=0.006). Conclusions: Anaemia is frequent in ICU patients. Diagnostic blood loss in our institution is acceptable and seems to protect patients against significant iatrogenic blood loss and subsequent anaemia. Dilutional anaemia may interfere with the results so before-after interventional research is needed to explore this interesting topic.
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INTRODUCTION

Anaemia is a common clinical problem in critically ill patients with an upon-admission prevalence reaching about two-thirds of the intensive care unit (ICU) patients (Lasocki et al., 2020). The World Health Organisation (WHO) defines anaemia based on the sex and haemoglobin concentration (HGB) (Blanc et al., 1968) with the value of HGB below 120 gL⁻¹ in women and 130 gL⁻¹ in men being the cut-off values. Anaemia has a great impact on patients’ prognosis, causing increased morbidity, mortality, and hospital length of stay and augmenting the requirement for packed red blood cells (PRBC) transfusions – which are themselves connected to the unfavourable outcome (Maxwell & Wilson, 2006). The foregoing features are prompting to search for culprits of anaemia and, if possible, to reduce their influence on HBG. The main mechanisms of the anaemia development are blood loss (Pieracci & Baric, 2006), haemodilution and inflammation (Lasocki et al., 2011). Diagnostic blood loss (DBL) may also contribute to anaemia occurrence. Management and prevention of anaemia in the ICU are difficult, complex and multidirectional (Lasocki et al., 2020). In this study, we aimed to evaluate DBL and its impact on HGB concentration and developing anaemia in patients during the first week of the hospitalization in ICU.

METHODS

Design and patients

This case series is based on a prospective, single-centre, observational study of 70 ICU patients treated in the Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland. The enrolment period was from 25th October 2019 to 12th February 2020. The main inclusion criteria were the age of ≥18 years, at least 8 days of at-ward stay and the presence of an arterial line for the routine blood draw (i.e. in-ward phlebotomy reduction policy). Exclusion criteria included: missing clinical or laboratory data from the first to the eighth day of the ICU stay, suspected or confirmed active bleeding, bleeding as the reason of hospital admission (including bloody fluids drainage, actively bleeding wounds, blood in the stool, melena), undergoing invasive procedures with the risk of substantial bleeding (any open surgery, reoperations, surgical wound treatment, percutaneous tracheostomy). Based on those criteria 34 patients were excluded from the study. None of the patients was diagnosed with haematological malignancy. Three patients had chronic kidney disease at stage 3.

Data Collection

Data were collected from hospital charts and electronic medical records, which included basic demo-
graphics (sex and age), clinical features (diagnosis at admission; renal replacement therapy; iron supplementation; percutaneous gastrostomy; upon-admission assessment of multi-organ failure – Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score, Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS) II, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA); laboratory data - HGB, haematocrit (Hct), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), red blood cells (RBC) counts) and volume of blood collected for daily laboratory testing. The weekly decrease (or increase) in HGB/Hct/RBC value was calculated as the difference between the value on the day of admission and that in the morning blood sample on the eighth day of hospital stay.

Definitions

Anaemia was defined according to the WHO criteria (Blanc et al., 1968). The range of MCV <80 fl, 80–100 fl and >100 fl was used to describe anaemia as microcytic, normocytic and macrocytic, respectively (Lasocki et al., 2020).

Diagnostic Blood Loss

DBL was measured as the total amount of blood collected to test tubes in 7 consecutive days from ICU admission. We recorded the number and types of blood tubes using data from electronic medical records. Each test tube had its specific identity number so we could match a particular laboratory test to every used tube. We had to assume that only the minimal amount of blood needed to run the test was collected and also that there was no additional loss of its volume during the blood draw. From the beginning of this study, we verified the capacity of blood tubes weekly. Arterial blood gas tubes were assigned a volume of 1 mL, haematology tubes 2 mL and blood cultures were estimated as 10 mL based on the prior literature (Chant et al., 2006). The volume of coagulation laboratory tubes and chemistry/miscellaneous laboratory tubes had changed during the data collection. Until 24th January 2020, the volume of the former was 1.8 mL and after that day it was 2.7 mL. The latter was 5 mL and 2.5 mL and the change was on 17th January 2020. Due to the fact that all the patients had an arterial line 1.5 mL of blood needed to be drawn and discarded before each blood sampling (accordingly to the written policy for the arterial line use). That volume, multiplied by the number of blood samplings through the day, was added to the total volume of DBL. A 7-day fluid balance was calculated to assess the extent of dilutional anaemia.

Red blood cell transfusion policy

Restrictive transfusion strategy (i.e. HGB <70 gL⁻¹) was applied for PRBC transfusions, with possible individual protocol violations due to increased oxygen demand.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using MedCalc Statistical Software version 17.2 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium). Continuous variables were expressed as the median and interquartile range (IQR). Qualitative variables were expressed as absolute values. Between-group differences for quantitative variables were assessed using Mann-Whitney U-test, after verification of variables’ distribution with Shapiro-Wilk test. The correlation was assessed using the Spearman rank coefficient (R). 'P' value was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

After the implementation of the inclusion and exclusion criteria 36 patients were enrolled in this study. Patients characteristic are summarized in Table 1.

Anaemia was diagnosed on admission in 24 (67%) patients. On the eighth day, there were 29 patients (80%) with anaemia, among which there were 6 new cases. The median volume of blood collected was 143.15 mL (IQR 121.4–161.65) per week. No differences were found in DBL in subjects with newly developed anaemia on day 8 (140.3 mL; 119.6–176.6) and their counterparts (136.4 mL; 108.6-152.5) (p=0.4) (Fig. 1). APACHE II (p=0.6), SAPS II (p=0.4) and SOFA (p=0.7) scores were unrelated to anaemia incidence during ICU stay, but there was a significant positive correlation between the volume of collected blood and on-admission assessment of multi-organ dysfunction measured as APACHE II (R=0.38, p=0.02; Fig. 2) and SOFA (R=0.60, p<0.001; Fig. 3). No such correlation was present between DBL and SAPS II (R=0.26, p=0.1). The median drop of haemoglobin (HbΔ) was 18 gL⁻¹ (5-28) and the median drop of haematocrit (HtΔ) was 4.55% (1.1–7.95). There was no correlation between neither HbΔ and DBL (p=0.8) nor HtΔ and DBL (p=0.7). There were no differences in HbΔ/
When age, sex or primary critical illness were taken into account for the analysis ($p > 0.05$ for all, data not shown). The median 7-day fluid balance was $–2730$ mL (IQR $–8082–550$). There was a significant positive correlation between fluid balance and HbΔ ($R=0.45, p=0.006$) but no clear association was found for HtΔ ($R=0.31, p=0.07$). Two of the patients had a packed red blood cell transfusion (1 unit each) which was included in the data (with an HGB trigger of 67 gL$^{-1}$ and 76 gL$^{-1}$).

**DISCUSSION**

Anaemia is a known factor of increased morbidity and mortality, especially in critically ill patients. Many studies over the years proved that the volume of withdrawn blood can be a strong predictor of the drop in haemoglobin and haematocrit values, contributing to phlebotomy-induced anaemia. The mathematical simulation by Lyon and others (Lyon et al., 2013) proved that the volume of withdrawn blood has a significant influence on the change of HGB values. Also, the study conducted by Thavendiranathan and others (Thavendiranathan et al., 2005) showed that – on average – for every 100 mL of blood sampling a decrease in haemoglobin and haematocrit can be expected by 7.0 gL$^{-1}$ and 1.9%, respectively.

Unfortunately, anaemia is very common among ICU patients. Among our subjects, 67% presented on-admission HGB values below the cut-off point for their sex which corresponds with 63% from a multicentre observational study conducted by Vincent and others (Vincent et al., 2002). By the eighth day, 80% of them either developed anaemia (6 patients, 17%) or had a further decrease in HGB value. In only 4 cases the increase of HGB value could have been observed. Although we were unable to confirm that there was a correlation between the volume of the blood draw and the delta of HGB value during a 7-day observation, it appears that it is not without meaning. When taking into account the differences in haemoglobin values between day one and the day on which patient’s lowest HGB value was observed, a positive correlation was found ($R=0.42, p=0.01$). Therefore it is important to conduct therapy according to guidelines aimed to prevent iatrogenic blood loss. Klein and others (Klein et al., 2019) placed great emphasis on the importance of using small (paediatric) tubes for blood sampling to prevent iatrogenic anaemia. Based on the result of their study, Vincent and others (Vincent et al., 2002) concluded that the mean total blood volume (TBV) collected from one ICU patient over 24 hours was 41 mL (the mean number of samples collected per patient was 4.6). According to Lasocki and others (Lasocki et al., 2020), total blood volume per patient is within the range of 40 to 80 mL per day. In our study, mean TBV was 18.9 mL (with 2.1 draws per patient). This visibly smaller TBV was achieved by the common usage of arterial lines and small test tubes (excluding blood culture bottles, no tube allowed to draw more than 5 mL of blood). These findings are consistent with prior literature (Silver et al., 1993; Sanchez-Giron & Alvarez-Mora, 2008).

Due to the fact that there is a significant positive correlation between multi-organ dysfunction scores (measured as APACHE II and SOFA) and TBV, prevention of iatrogenic blood loss becomes even more relevant issue. The sicker the patient, the more tests they need, therefore more of their blood is withdrawn. But not all occurrences of anaemia can result from iatrogenic blood loss, as the critical illness itself is a factor of major importance (Baysan et al., 2020).

**Study limitations**

Firstly, this research covered 36 patients from a group of 70 subjects hospitalised over the study period. Nevertheless, it was necessary to set up strict exclusion criteria for objective assessment of the impact of DBL on anaemia occurrence. Secondly, a plethora of clinical and iatrogenic factors favour anaemia in the critical care setting. Additional calculations using complex statistical methods are needed to minimise confounding. Thirdly, this re-
search was observational and interventional study is required to verify our conclusions. On the other hand, this real-life scenario reflects well the blood management policy in our institution. Finally, we had no insight into the risk of pre-hospital bleeding nor the haemostatic status of the patients, which might have interfered with our results (Pereira et al., 2019). We found the risk of dilutional anaemia which might have biased our observations.

CONCLUSIONS

Anaemia is frequent in ICU patients. Diagnostic blood loss in our institution is acceptable and efforts to protect patients against significant iatrogenic blood loss and subsequent anaemia are effective. Dilutional anaemia may interfere with the results so before-after interventional research is needed to explore this interesting topic.

REFERENCES