ARF3 inhibits proliferation and promotes apoptosis in gastric cancer by regulating AKT and ERK pathway
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ADP-ribosylation factor 3 (ARF3) has confirmed participate in diverse biological processes in many cancers. However, the expression patterns and roles of ARF3 in gastric cancer (GC) remains largely unknown. In our study, by using qRT-PCR and western blot, we found that, in GC tissues and cells, the expression of ARF3 was significantly down-regulated. Functional experiments demonstrated that ARF3 inhibited proliferation, induced cycle arrest and enhanced apoptosis of GC cells. Moreover, by performing western blot, we found that ARF3 could regulate the protein expression of key factors of AKT and ERK pathway. Using orthotopic xenograft mouse models, it is showed that ARF3 could inhibit GC tumorigenesis in vivo. To sum up, ARF3 may suppress proliferation, induced cycle arrest and promotes apoptosis of GC by modulating AKT and ERK pathway. It might act as a potential biomarker for GC prognosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) is considered as a malignant disease with high mortality rate and limited therapeutic options (Strong 2018). Epidemiologic studies showed that GC caused more than 800,000 death each year for the last decades worldwide (Ferro et al., 2014). The incidence of GC is declining in recent years mainly due to the decrease of Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection rate and improvements of food preservation (Lunet et al., 2005; Peleteiro et al., 2012). However, it is still an important disease which caused great burden globally (Charalampakis et al., 2018). Despite the great advanced breakthroughs that have been made in this field, the five-year survival rate remains not optimistic due to the high recurrence and distal metastasis rates (Fuijya et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). Therefore, exploring new molecular mechanisms of the occurrence and development of gastric cancer is necessary.

ADP-ribosylation factor (ARFs) are classified as three classes, including ARF1, ARF2, ARF3, ARF4, ARF5, ARF6 (Li et al., 2002; Kahn et al., 2006). As a key regulator of subcellular compartments’ formation, ARFs play an important role in vesicular trafficking and activating phospholipase D (Seo et al., 2020). Among them, ARF3 is one of the most important members of ARF gene family (Kondo et al., 2012). Unlike other ARFs, ARF3 contains five exons and four introns, and associates selectively with recruitment of the Golgi shell complex and activates phospholipase D and PI kinase (Smith et al., 1995; Sztul et al., 2019). Accumulating evidence suggested that ARF3 may play an essential role in cancer development (Chang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2019). Recent studies have shown that the expression of ARF3 is positively correlated with the clinical staging of breast cancer (Huang et al., 2019). Huang et al identified that the expression of both ARF3 mRNA and protein are highly expressed in breast cancer cells and mainly localized in cytoplasm. Mechanically, ARF3 can promote breast cancer cell proliferation by regulating cell cycle G1-S transition and associated with inactivation of the forkhead box O1 (FOXO1) transcription factor (Huang et al., 2019). In GC related study, by using microarray assays, Chang et al found that APF3 is one of the hub genes for regulating liver metastasis of gastric cancer (Chang et al., 2009). However, the mechanism of how ARF3 exert its role in GC remains not fully understood.

In this study, we aim to explore the function and mechanism in ARF3 on GC progression. The expression of ARF3 was tested in both GC human tissues and cell lines. Furthermore, by using gain- and loss-of-function experiments, the role of ARF3 was clarified in GC cells. Next, the mechanism of ARF3 and its downstream factors was investigated. Finally, orthotopic xenograft mouse were used to clarify the effect of ARF3 on GC tumorigenesis in vivo. Our findings might set a novel insight into pathophysiologic mechanism of GC and may provide new therapeutic options for treating GC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

GC tissue collection and GC cell culture

The study (human part) was approved by the ethical committee of the Jiangsu Province Hospital of Chinese Medicine. Signed informed consent was collected from each patient enrolled in this study prior to any medical related procedure. A total number of 61 pairs of gastric tumor and corresponding adjacent tissue were collected from patients with gastric cancer receiving surgical resection. All tissue specimens were labeled and kept at –80°C for further use.
For GC cell culture, human GC cell lines (HGC-27, AGS, SNU-1, MKN-45) and normal gastric mucosal cells line (GES-1) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). All GC cells were cultured in standard DMEM medium (Gibco, USA) which contained 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and penicillin/streptomycin ((Invitrogen, USA). The culture was kept at the standard environment of 37°C with 5% CO₂.

qRT-PCR

Total RNA from human tissues and cells was extracted using a TRIZOL Reagent (Invitrogen, USA). Then, cDNA was synthesized using Promega cDNA kit (Madison, WI, USA). Subsequently, quantitative real-time PCR was performed on ABI 7500 Fast system using SYBR Green Mix (TaKaRa, Kuhsatu, Janpan). GAPDH was considered as control. Data were normalized and analyzed using 2-ΔΔCT method. The primers were listed as following: ARF3 forward, 5′-GGAAACAGCC-CAAACCGG-3′, reverse, 5′-CTAATATCTGGGCTC-CCAACCTG-3′; GAPDH forward, 5′-CCGGGAAACTTGTGGGCTGAGTGGGCTGCGTGT-3′; reverse, 5′-AGGTGGGAGTGGGCTGCGTGT-3′.

Western blot assay

Human tissues and transfected cells were lysed. Then, protein concentration was examined with the use of BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo, USA). The Western blotting analysis was performed according to standard procedures as previously described. Primary anti-ARF3 (#ab108347, 1:1000), anti-cyclin-D1 (#ab16663, 1:1000), anti-p21 (#ab109520, 1:1000), anti-p27 (#ab32034, 1:2000), anti-Bcl-2 antibodies (#ab32124, 1:2000), anti-Bax (#ab32503, 1:1000), anti-Cleaved-caspase-3 (#ab32042, 1:1000), anti-p-AKT (#ab38449, 1:500), anti-AKT (#ab8805, 1:1000), anti-p-ERK1/2 (#ab223500, 1:1000), ERK1/2 (#ab184699, 1:1000), GAPDH (#ab8245, 1:500), were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Secondary antibodies were anti-mouse and anti-rabbit.

Immunohistochemistry assay (IHC)

Tissue specimens were fixed in 10% formaldehyde, embedded in paraffin. The sections (4 μm) were subjected to gradient dehydration in ethanol solution. After antigen retrieval, primary anti-ARF3 antibody (Abcam, #ab108347, 1:500) and anti-Ki-67 antibody (Abcam, #ab109520, 1:500) were used as a control. Data were normalized and analyzed using 2-ΔΔCT method. The primers were listed as following: ARF3 forward, 5′-GGAAACAGCC-CAAACCGG-3′, reverse, 5′-CTAATATCTGGGCTC-CCAACCTG-3′; GAPDH forward, 5′-CCGGGAAACTTGTGGGCTGAGTGGGCTGCGTGT-3′; reverse, 5′-AGGTGGGAGTGGGCTGCGTGT-3′.

Cell transfection

For generating pRNAT-U6.1/Neo-ARF3 plasmid (sh1-ARF3, sh2-ARF3), self-complementary hairpin DNA oligonucleotides were annealed and cloned into the pRNAT-U6.1/Neo plasmid vector, and NC-shRNA was used as a control. To overexpress ARF3, pcDNA3.1 plasmid targeting ARF3 (pcDNA3.1-ARF3) were purchased from Beyotime (Wuhan, China). For cell transfection, MKN-45 cells were transfected with sh-ARF3 or NC-shRNA, HGC-27 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1-ARF3 or control plasmid with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) for 48 hours.

Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay

CCK-8 reagent was added in a 96-well plate with transfected cells (2000 cells per well). Cells were incubated with reagent at 37°C for 4 h. The absorbance values at 490 nm were detected and recorded with a microplate reader at 0d, 1d, 2d, 3d, and 4d after incubation. Data were recorded and cell viability in each group was analyzed.

Edu staining

Different group of transfected cells were suspended at a density of 1×10⁶ cells/well. Then, 1× Apollo reaction cocktail (100 μl/well) was added in culture medium for half an hour. Subsequently, DNA was stained with 10 μg/ml of Hoechst 33342 stain (100 μl/well), DAPI was used for nuclei staining. The percentage of EdU positive cells were quantified and analyzed.

Flow cytometer assay

For cell cycle measurement, transfected cells were collected at logarithmic growth phase, digested with trypsin, and fixed with precooled ethanol at 4°C overnight. Subsequently, cells were centrifuged, washed, and re-suspended. Then, cells were stained with PI (400 μl, Sigma) and RNase A (0.1 mg/ml, Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. After that, the cell cycle was detected by flow cytometry.

For apoptotic assay, transfected cells were digested with trypsin (without EDTA) and washed and re-suspended in 100 μl binding buffer. Then, 5 μl Annexin V/ FITC and 5 μl propidium iodide (PI) were added in the dark for 15 min at room temperature. Cell apoptosis was detected by flow cytometry.

Tumor xenograft experiments

HGC-27 cells (2×10⁶) transfected with pcDNA3.1-ARF3 plasmid or control vector were subcutaneously injected in the right flank of male BALB/c nude mice (n=6 for each group, 6~8 weeks old, 19~22g). Tumor volume was calculated every 3 days up to 18 days. At the end of the 18th day, mice were sacrificed, and the weight of the tumors was recorded. Animal-related study was approved by the ethical committee of the Jiangsu Province Hospital of Chinese Medicine.

Statistical analysis

All series of experiments were performed at least 3 times and results were presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed on SPSS version 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Student’s t test was used to compare two groups, and one-way ANOVA was used to compare multiple groups, p value of less than 0.05 suggested statistical significance.

RESULTS

ARF3 was downregulated in GC

Firstly, the expression of ARF3 mRNA was determined in both human GC tissues and its corresponding normal tissues using qRT-PCR (n=61 for each group). We found that the expression of ARF3 mRNA was significantly downregulated in GC tissues than that in control group (Fig. 1A, p<0.001). Subsequently, expression of protein ARF3 was detected in GC tumor samples and normal samples using western blot (n=4 for each group) and IHC. As shown in Fig. 1B and 1C, it demonstrated that protein ARF3 was less expressed in GC tissues compared with normal tissue. Moreover, the expres-
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The expression of protein ARF3 was determined in GC cell lines (HGC-27, AGS, SNU-1, MKN-45) and normal human gastric mucosal cells (GES-1). Results demonstrated that ARF3 was remarkably less expressed in all GC cell lines. Among these cell lines, HGC-27 cells have the lowest level of ARF3, while MKN-45 cells expressed more ARF3 than other GC cells (Fig. 1D). In order to make the further results more convincing, we selected HGC-27 and MKN-45 for subsequent experiments.

Figure 1. ARF3 was downregulated in GC tissues and cell lines.

(A) The expression of ARF3 mRNA was determined in human GC tumor tissues and control normal tissues (n=61 for each group) by qRT-PCR. (B) The expression of ARF3 protein was tested in human GC tumor tissues and control normal tissues by western blot (n=4 for each group). (C) The expression of ARF3 was detected human GC tumor tissues and control normal tissues by IHC. (D) The expression of ARF3 mRNA and protein was determined in GC cells (HGC-27, AGS, SNU-1, MKN-45) and normal human gastric mucosal cells (GES-1) by qRT-PCR and western blot, respectively. Data were expressed as mean ± S.D. ***p<0.001 represent statistically difference.

Figure 2. ARF3 suppressed the proliferation of GC cells.

(A) The transfect efficiency of pcDNA3.1-ARF3 plasmid and sh-ARF3 (sh1-ARF3, sh2-ARF3) were tested in HGC-27 and MKN-45 cells, respectively. (B) The cell viability in ARF3 overexpression and knockdown system was measured by CCK-8 assay. (C) Edu staining in both ARF3 overexpression and knockdown system. Data were expressed as mean ± S.D. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 represent statistically difference.
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ARF3 suppressed the proliferation of GC cells

To identify the role of ARF3 in GC pathogenesis, pcDNA3.1-ARF3 plasmid and sh-ARF3 (sh1-ARF3, sh2-ARF3) have been used for ARF3 overexpression and knockdown in HGC-27 and MKN-45 cells, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2A, in HGC-27 cells, ARF3 mRNA and proteins were significantly upregulated after transfecting pcDNA3.1-ARF3 plasmid ($p<0.001$), while in MKN-45 cells, expression of ARF3 was inhibited by sh1-ARF3 and sh2-ARF3 ($p<0.01$, $p<0.001$). Due to the better inhibitory effect, sh1-ARF3 was used in further studies. Then, the effect of ARF3 on GC cell proliferation was determined by CCK-8 assay and Edu staining (Fig. 2B and 2C). Results demonstrated that overexpressed ARF3 could inhibit cell viability in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 2B, $p<0.001$), while knockdown of ARF3 could promote cell viability (Fig. 2B, $p>0.001$). Furthermore, results of Edu staining showed that cells which overexpressed ARF3 have less Edu positive cells (Fig. 2C, $p<0.01$), while ARF3 knockdown cells have more Edu positive cells (Fig. 2C, $p<0.01$). These data demonstrated that ARF3 could suppress the proliferation of GC cells.

ARF3 induced cycle arrest of GC cells

Subsequently, the effect of ARF3 on GC cell cycle was determined in ARF3 overexpression and knockdown system, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3A, there was a significant amount of cell accumulation at G1 phase in ARF3 overexpressed group ($p<0.01$), whereas on ARF3 knockdown group, cells accumulated less in the G1 phase while more gathered in S phase, suggesting that ARF3 has an effect on the GC cell cycle. Moreover, the expression of cell cycle related proteins including cyclinD1, p21 and p27 has been western blot tested. In Fig. 3B, we found that the expression of cyclinD1 was decreased in ARF3 overexpression cells ($p<0.001$), while increased in ARF3 knockdown cells ($p<0.001$). On the contrary, the expression of p21 and p27 was promoted in ARF3 overexpression cells ($p<0.001$), while inhibited in ARF3 knockdown cells ($p<0.001$). Taken together, these results indicated that ARF3 could induce cycle arrest of the GC cells.

ARF3 promoted apoptosis of GC cells

Further, GC cell apoptosis was detected in both ARF3 overexpression and knockdown system. As shown in Fig. 4A, in ARF3 overexpressed cells, apoptosis ratio was significantly increased compared to the control ($p<0.001$), while knockdown of ARF3 had the opposite effect ($p<0.001$). Furthermore, the levels of classic key factors of cell apoptosis (Bcl-2, Bax, Cleaved caspase-3) were investigated in each group. Results demonstrated that overexpressed ARF3 could inhibit the expression of Bcl-2 ($p<0.001$), promote the expression of Bax and...
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ARF3 regulated the activation of Akt and ERK pathway

The expression of p-Akt, Akt, p-ERK1/2 and ERK1/2 were also tested in both ARF3 overexpression and knockdown system by western blot. We found that in ARF3 overexpressed cells, the expression of these factors was significantly decreased (Fig. 5, \(p<0.001\)). However, knockdown of ARF3 dramatically promoted the expression of these factors, suggesting that ARF3 could regulate the activation of Akt and ERK pathway (Fig. 5, \(p<0.001\)).

ARF3 inhibited tumorigenesis in vivo

Finally, the effect of ARF3 on GC tumorigenesis was investigated by orthotopic xenograft mouse models in vivo. Male nude mice were injected with HGC-27 cells which transfected with pcDNA3.1-ARF3 plasmid or control vector. The pictures of tumor volume in each group were shown in Fig. 6A. For the quantification, we found that tumor volume in pcDNA3.1-ARF3 group were markedly smaller in each time point than that in the control group up to 18 days (Fig. 6B, \(p<0.001\)). The tumor weight in pcDNA3.1-ARF3 group were significantly lighter than that in the control (Fig. 6B, \(p<0.001\), n=6). In IHC test, the expression of ARF3 was significantly increased in pcDNA3.1-ARF3 group, while the expression of Ki-67 was decreased (Fig. 6C).

DISCUSSION

GC is the second leading cause of mortality with poor prognosis. Understanding the molecular mechanism of GC tumorigenesis is essential for the development of novel therapeutic options (Obermannová & Lordick 2016). It has been previously reported that ARF3 acts as an important role in tumorigenesis in many types of cancers (Huang et al., 2019). In our study, we firstly found that ARF3 mRNA and protein was less expressed in human GC. Then, by using pcDNA3.1-ARF3 plasmid and sh-ARF3 (sh1-ARF3, sh2-ARF3), ARF3 overexpression and knockdown system was developed. Functional experiments showed that ARF3 suppressed the proliferation, induced cycle arrest and enhanced apoptosis of the GC cells. Our results demonstrated that in the GC development, ARF3 may play a tumor inhibitory factor.
(G1), gap 2 (G2) and mitosis (M) (Satyanarayana & Kaldis 2009). Many factors were confirmed as a regulator in modulating cell cycle, for example D-type cyclins (D1, D2 and D3), cyclin-dependent kinase (CDKs), CIP/KIP family (p21, p27) and so on (Sherr 1994; Sherr 1995; Finn et al., 2016). As for apoptosis, it is considered to be a protective mechanism of the human body to purify cancer cells. Classically, Bcl-2 protein family (Bcl-2, Bax) regulate the release of cytochrome c from mitochondria, which is able to activate mitochondrial induced apoptosis pathway. In our study, it has been shown that ARF3 could suppress the proliferation of GC cells. Moreover, by performing flow cytometer assay and testing the expression of cyclinD1, p21 and p27, we found that ARF3 could arrest the cell cycle at G1 phase. For apoptosis, apoptotic cells in different groups were tested by flow cytometer assay. In parallel, the classical regulators in cell apoptosis including Bcl-2, Bax and Cleaved Caspase-3 were detected in different groups. Results demonstrated that ARF3 could promote apoptosis of GC cells.

The AKT and ERK signaling pathways are important intracellular signal transduction cascades, regulating cell proliferation and growth, cell survival and apoptosis (Testa & Bellacosa 2001; McCubrey et al., 2007; Manning & Toker 2017). As a key node of PI3K/AKT signaling cascade, AKT, precisely excessive activated AKT, regulates the activity of each of the downstream factors, such as phosphorylate Ser2448 and mTOR pathway (Sekulić et al., 2000; Mundi et al., 2016). For ERK signaling pathway, ERK1/2 is an important regulator and mediates various cellular processes such as activation of transcription factors, regulation of cell cycle and cell apoptosis (Murphy et al., 2002; Dhillon et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2013). The data of our study suggested that ARF3 could regulate the activation of AKT and ERK pathway, suggesting that the inhibitory role of ARF3 may exert by regulating AKT and

![Figure 5. ARF3 regulated the activation of Akt and ERK pathway.](image)

Western blot was conducted to determine the expression of p-Akt, Akt, p-ERK1/2 and ERK1/2 was in both ARF3 overexpression and knockdown system. Data were expressed as mean ± S.D. ***p<0.001 represent statistically difference.

![Figure 6. ARF3 inhibited tumorigenesis in vivo.](image)

Mice treated with HGC-27 cell line transfection with pcDNA3.1-ARF3 plasmid or control vector. (A) Tumors collected from different groups of mice were shown. (B) The effect of ARF3 overexpression on tumor volume curve and tumor weight were analyzed. (C) The expression of ARF3 and Ki67 was detected by IHC assay in each group. Data were expressed as mean ± S.D. ***p<0.001 represent statistically difference. 
ERK pathway. At the end of the experiment, we confirmed that ARF3 could inhibit tumorigenesis in vitro.

To sum up, our study provides the evidence of ARF3 being downregulated in the GC. Moreover, ARF3 acts as a regulator to suppress the proliferation, induce cycle arrest and promote apoptosis of GC cells. Mechanistically, ARF3 may inhibit proliferation and promotes apoptosis of GC by modulating AKT and ERK pathway. ARF3 might act as a potential biomarker for GC prognosis.
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