Development of paclitaxel loaded pegylated gelatin targeted nanoparticles for improved treatment efficacy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): an in vitro and in vivo evaluation study
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Purpose: To develop and evaluate paclitaxel (PTX) loaded pegylated gelatin targeted nanoparticles for improved efficacy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treatment. Method: PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles (PTX-GNP) were prepared by crosslinking with glutaraldehyde aqueous solution. These nanoparticles (NPs) were further incubated with PEG 400 to form PEGylated NPs (PEG-PTX-GNP). The NPs were evaluated for surface morphology, size, zeta potential, encapsulation efficiency, drug loading, in vitro drug release, cytotoxicity in an assay on cancer cell lines L132, in vitro cellular uptake in an assay in L132 and 293T cell lines, in vivo antitumor activity on female Balb/c mice, pulmonary deposition, histopathology, and immunohistochemical properties. Results: The nanoparticles were of spherical shape with smooth surface characteristics. The observed DL was of 20.18 to 32.11%, as particle size was of 90 to 115 nm. Zeta potential and polydispersity index (PDI) were within acceptable ranges. Encapsulation was effective when the NPs had a size of 80.50 nm to 98.12 nm. The PEGylated PTX loaded nanoparticles (PEG-PTX-GNP, GNP4) showed similar PTX release profile to that of the NP4 formulation. PEGylated NPs showed the desired PTX release pattern that is required for cancer treatment. In an in vitro cytotoxicity study, PEG-PTX-GNP showed the maximum antiproliferative activity over the period of 24 hours, followed by PTX-GNP, pure PTX and BPEG-GNP. PEG-PTX-GNP showed the highest internalization within both cell lines, followed by PTX-GNP and pure PTX. The survival rate of animals in PEG-PTX-GNP group was 100%, proving the safety and efficacy of the treatment. PEG-PTX-GNP showed the highest antitumor activity as compared to other formulations. The pulmonary deposition rate was the highest (6.5 to 12.55 μg/g) in PEG-PTX-GNP formulations. Histopathology and immunohistochemical study proved that PEG-PTX-GNP had greater antitumor potential than other tested formulations. Conclusion: This study confirms the potential use of paclitaxel loaded PEGylated gelatin targeted nanoparticles for improved efficacy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

The lung cancer, also known as pulmonary carcinoma, is a malignant tumor characterized by uncontrolled growth of the cells in pulmonary tissues. Each year, nearly one million people are diagnosed with lung cancer worldwide and nearly 25% of deaths are caused by cancer, among which pulmonary cancer is the major cause of mortality (Kim et al., 2012). Smoking, alcohol consumption, high fat diet and obesity are the main risk factors for the development of lung cancer in patients. The WHO has already given serious alarm regarding severe rise in mortality due to smoking and unhealthy diet in industrial countries (Torre et al., 2016). The lung cancer is categorized in two main types: small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC) and non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). In one survey conducted in the USA it was found that among 220,000 lung patients, 85% of the early cases were NSCLC and the remaining were SCLC. In the current scenario, the available options for the treatment of NSCLC are chemotherapy, surgery, radiation therapy or combination of these (Pless et al., 2015). In the advanced stage of NSCLC, chemotherapy is found ineffective or toxic to the patients. The remaining treatment options have a very low success rate and are systemically toxic due to non-specific effects, which kill normal cells (Garon et al., 2015). The limitations of conventional drug delivery systems prompted the development of alternative approaches to overcome issues such as systemic toxicity. A combination of the anticancer drug with a natural bioactive molecule may be one of the solutions to reduce the side effects. Another approach is to use a nano size carrier system to increase the therapeutic concentration of a drug in a cancer cell due to enhanced permeation and retention (EPR). In addition to the microspheres, liposomes, and lipidic nanoparticles, the polymeric nanoparticles have been extensively used for targeted and controlled drug delivery. Among these systems, nanoparticles have gained prominent attention in the treatment of various types of cancer, including lung cancer. The surfaces of the nanoparticles can be decorated or modified to better target cancer cells that the immune system cannot identify. Nanoparticles can be easily distributed in the tumor area due to the excessive permeability of the tumor vasculature, lack of lymphatic drainage, and the EPR effect. The drug concentration in nanocarrier form can be increased 10 to 100 times compared to the...
free drug (Scott et al., 2007; Ahmad et al., 193; Peng et al., 2009; Tahara et al., 2017).

Many anti-cancer drugs have been studied in recent years, but most of them are hydrophobic and poorly soluble. Paclitaxel (PTX) is also a potent anti-cancer drug widely used in the treatment of NSCLC, but the clinical use of PTX is limited by high toxicity and low bioavailability due to poor solubility. Taxol is a preparation containing PTX used in the treatment of NSCLC, but it has been associated with various side effects such as cardiotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and hypersensitivity. It has also been observed that PTX concentration in lung tissue is too low to elicit the therapeutic effect in treatment of the NSCLC. Thus, considering all these drawbacks of the current drug delivery system, there is a strong need to reduce side effects and increase the therapeutic efficacy of PTX (Su et al., 2012; Shenb et al., 2012; Goncalves et al., 2001).

Gelatin is a naturally occurring protein biopolymer that is obtained from collagen under acidic or alkaline treatment. Having low antigenicity, it is widely used in parenteral formulations and approved as a plasma expander. In addition, gelatin has many advantages: it is biodegradable, biocompatible, non-toxic, water soluble, nonpyrogenic, inexpensive, can be easily sterilized, and has a higher content of amino acids such as glycine, proline, and alanine which stabilize the triple helical structure of gelatin (Tseng et al., 2008). Gelatin can be easily chemically modified and conjugated due to the presence of ionizable groups such as amine, phenol, carboxyl, guanidine, and imidazole. Glutaraldehyde-crosslinked gelatin has greater stability, and increased circulation time in vivo compared to unmodified gelatin. All these properties make gelatin an ideal candidate for nanoparticulate drug delivery system (Karthikeyan et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2004).

Recently, particular attention has been paid to use of surface-modified gelatin nanoparticles in the treatment of cancer. Surface modification of gelatin nanoparticles with polyethylene glycol (PEG) has been widely used to prepare long circulating nanoparticles. PEGylated nanoparticles were shown to be less prone to degradation in calf serum than non-PEGylated nanoparticles (Hao et al., 2012). It was also observed that the particle accumulation in tumor was nearly 6-fold higher for PEGylated nanoparticles (Schädlich A et al., 2015). Doxorubicin-loaded PEGylated chitosan nanoparticles were found to be more efficient in inhibiting tumor growth than free doxorubicin and non-PEGylated doxorubicin-loaded nanoparticles (Scheeren et al., 2016). Another study showed that co-delivery of doxorubicin and paclitaxel in PEGylated nanoparticles was more efficient in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer than using non-PEGylated nanoparticles for drug delivery (Lx et al., 2016). In a similar study, folic acid conjugated PEG nanoparticles were used for lung cancer targeting in mice model, where they showed enhanced cellular uptake of the nanoparticles confirmed by optical imaging due to receptor-mediated endocytosis (Yoo et al., 2012). Another study developed PEGylated lipid nanocarriers to improve the anti-tumor activity of 10-hydroxycamptothecin (HCPT) in the treatment of lung cancer. An in vivo study showed that the developed PEGylated lipid nanoparticles had better efficiency against A549 lung cancer compared to the HCPT solution and non-PEGylated lipid nanocarriers (Zhang et al., 2008). In this research, we developed surface-modified PEGylated PTX gelatin nanoparticles for the treatment of NSCLC that would reduce side effects, cytotoxicity, increase cellular uptake, and improve biodistribution in lung cancer tissue.

TABLE 1. Composition of PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles (PTX-GNP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>NP1</th>
<th>NP2</th>
<th>NP3</th>
<th>NP4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PTX (mg)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gelatin (mg)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tween 80 (mL)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Isopropyl alcohol (mL)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sodium sulfate solution (mL)</td>
<td>q.s.</td>
<td>q.s.</td>
<td>q.s.</td>
<td>q.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Glutaraldehyde (mL)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sodium sulfate aq solution (mL)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Paclitaxel (PTX) was purchased from Baoji Guokang Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (Baoji, Shaanxi, China); Gelatin Type-B, 175 g Bloom (from bovine skin) was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (USA); Tween 80, sodium sulfate, isopropyl alcohol, glutaraldehyde (25%), sodium metabisulfite solution (12%), Sephadex G-50 column, and polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich USA.

Cell culture and animals. The NSCLC cell lines (293T and L132) for this study were obtained from the Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology. The cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 (RPMI-1640) medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin sulphate at 37°C in atmosphere of 5% CO2. BALB/c-nu/nu athymic mice were obtained from the Centre of Animal, Plant and Food Testing, Nan Jing customs District. P.R. China, Nan Jing, 210001, China. All animal experiments were conducted with accordance with institutional animal ethical committee of Centre of Animal, Plant and Food Testing, Nan Jing customs District. P.R. China, Nan Jing, 210001, China, with prior approval (ETHTL/00432/CAPFT/2019/01)

Preparation of PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles. PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles were prepared using 175 g Bloom gelatin, which indicates the molecular weight of the gelatin polymer. Gelatin was dissolved in...
water containing varying amount of Tween 80. This polymeric solution was heated at 40–45°C under continuous magnetic stirring at 400 rpm for 1 h. Next, 1.5 to 2 mL of 20% aqueous solution of sodium sulfate was added drop wise under continuous stirring. PTX was dissolved in isopropyl alcohol (IPA) at a concentration of 2 mg/mL and added to gelatin solution containing sodium sulfate, then 5 to 6 mL sodium sulfate solution was added with stirring until the solution became cloudy. The appearance of turbidity indicates the formation of gelatin aggregates. Next, nearly 1 mL double distilled water was added drop wise to the solution, until it became clear again. An aqueous solution of glutaraldehyde (20%) was then added to crosslink the gelatin. The crosslinking process was stopped by adding 4 to 5 mL of sodium metabisulphite solution (15%). The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h and the product was purified on Sephadex G-50 column (Amjadi et al., 2019). The nanoparticles were lyophilized in a freeze dryer for 72 h and stored at −20°C. The composition of the formula is shown in Table 1.

PEGylation of PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles (PEG-PTX-GNP). PEGylation of PTX-GNP was performed immediately after lyophilization of the nanoparticles. PTX-GNP were incubated with 1500 µg of PEG 400 (molecular weight 8000–10,000) per milligram nanoparticle and further diluted with PBS buffer to a final volume of 200 µL and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature using rotary shaker (Amjadi et al., 2019).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was done using a SAS statistical kit (Version 9.0; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary NC). The differences were considered statistically significant at p<0.05.

**Characterization of PTX-GNP and PEG-PTX-GNP**

PTX entrapment efficiency in gelatin nanoparticles. The PTX loaded nanoparticulate suspension was centrifuged at 20,000 rpm for 30 min and the clear supernatant liquid was analyzed with HPLC equipped with UV detector. The PTX entrapment efficiency in gelatin nanoparticles was calculated using the following equation (Hamarat et al., 2016):

\[
\text{% E.E of PTX} = \frac{\text{Total PTX–free PTX}}{\text{Total PTX amount}} \times 100
\]

**PTX loading in gelatin nanoparticles.** Five milligrams of PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles was added to hot water and shaken for 1 hour in a water bath shaker maintained at 40–45°C, to allow dissolving of the outer layer of gelatin. Nearly 15 ml of ethanol was added to the flask with dissolved gelatin. The flask was then shaken by hand for 15 minutes to extract the PTX in ethanol. The dispersion was then filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe filter. The filtrate containing PTX was determined by HPLC. The PTX loading was calculated according to the following formula (Hamarat et al., 2016).

\[
\text{% PTX loading=PTX Entrapped/Weight of nanoparticles} \times 100
\]

**PTX release from gelatin nanoparticles.** PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles (20 mg) were dispersed in 50 mL of pH 7.4 phosphate buffer and incubated at 37°C. Samples (2 mL) were taken at a predetermined time interval and replenished with fresh buffer solution (2 mL) to maintain the sinking conditions. The taken sample was centrifuged for 20 minutes at 70,000 rpm using an ultracentrifuge. The nanoparticle-free supernatant was separated and extracted twice with 5 mL of ethanol. The extracted solution was filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe filter and used to estimate PTX release from nanoparticles using HPLC (Hamarat et al., 2016).

**Particle size, Zeta potential polydispersity index (PDI) and yield of nanoparticles.** PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles were dispersed in double distilled water (10 mL) and sonicated for 5 min in a water bath sonicator. The nanoparticulate suspension was diluted 10 times with double distilled water and a drop of suspension was mounted on foil paper, dried coated with gold and examined under scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Leo 435 VP, Cambridge, UK) at an operating distance of 8–8.5 mm and accelerating voltage of 15.0 kV. Particle size, PDI and zeta potential of diluted nanoparticles were measured using Zetavizer (Malvern instruments DTS Ver 4.10). The yield of nanoparticles was calculated from the weight of freeze dried PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles.

**Cytotoxicity assay study.** Cytotoxicity of the nanoparticulate formulations was determined in L132 cancer cell line with a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide assay. The cells were grown in 96 well tissue culture plate at 1×10^4 per well. The cells were treated either with PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles (PTX-GNP), PTX loaded PEGylated gelatin nanoparticles (PEG-PTX-GNP), pure PTX or blank PEGylated gelatin nanoparticles (BPEG-GNP) at concentrations of 0.5 mg/mL and 0.75 mg/mL for 48 hours. 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5 diphenyl tetrazolium bromide stock solution was added to each culture at 10% of the final culture volume and the cells were incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. Then, the medium was collected, and centrifuged, and dimethyl sulfoxide was added to it to dissolve the formazan crystals. The absorbance of the medium was measured at 490 nm using microplate reader. The cytotoxicity was calculated as the percentage of viability in each well (Singh et al., 2008).

**In vitro cellular uptake assay in L132 and 293T cell lines.** A cellular uptake assay was used to determine the accumulation of PTX released from nanoparticles in the cell line. L132 and 293T cell lines were seeded in 12 well culture plates at a density of 10^5 cells/well and incubated for 24 h. Incubated cell lines were treated with either PTX-GNP, PEG-PTX-GNP or pure PTX at concentrations of 0.03, 0.06 and 1.0 µM for 8 h. After incubation, the cells were trypsinized and washed with saline buffer three times. The cells were centrifuged, and the cell mass was treated with lysis buffer and sonicated. The resulting solution was analyzed with HPLC to determine the internal accumulation of the drug in the cell lines (Liu et al., 2011).

**In vivo antitumor activity.** In vivo antitumor activity of PTX-GNP, PEG-PTX-GNP and pure PTX was studied in 8- to 10-week-old female Balb/c mice. Each of the animals was anesthetized with isoflurane and had its left flank shaved and their left lung injected at a depth of 4 mm with approximately 1.4 million L132 cells in exponential growth phase. The animals were kept under observation (nearly 24 days) until the palpable tumors formed. The animals were divided in to four groups as follows: 1) PTX-GNP treated 2) PEG-PTX-GNP treated 3) pure PTX treated 4) no treatment or control group. All formulations were administered by inhalation to each group of animals at a dose of 3 mg/kg for 3 days using nasal insufflators. The experiment was continued until the established end points, at which the volume and weight of the tumors were measured to determine the
antitumor activity of investigated treatment (Long et al., 2014).

**Pulmonary deposition study.** The amount of PTX deposited in the lungs of PTX-GNP-, PEG-PTX-GNP-, or pure PTX-treated animals was assessed at 1, 3, 12 and 24 h post treatment. The animals were sacrificed, and their lungs were carefully removed, washed thrice with pH 7.4 phosphate buffer and soaked in nitric acid solution (70%) for 20 h. The digested and homogenized lungs were centrifuged and the supernatant was HPLC-analyzed to determine the PTX content (Long et al., 2014).

**Histopathology study.** Histopathology study was performed on separated cancer tissues from animals. The tumors were cut in to 30 µm sections, deparaffinized and rehydrated with eosin and hematoxylin. Slides were viewed under a light microscope and pictures were taken (Li et al., 2017).

**Immunohistochemical study.** The tumor samples were collected and immediately put in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde for 12 hours. The samples were then rehydrated with alcohol gradient and embedded in paraffin. The paraffin blocks were sliced into 5 µm sections and mounted on poly-l-lysine-coated slides. Next, deparaffinized tumor sections were rehydrated with 3% hydrogen peroxide and alcohol and incubated for 30 min with caspase-3 and MMP-9 antibodies and stained (Li et al., 2017).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Preparation of PEGylated, PTX-loaded gelatin nanoparticles (PEG-PTX-GNP)**

PEGylated PTX-loaded gelatin nanoparticles were produced in two steps: 1) synthesis of PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles followed by 2) PEGylation of PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles. PEGylation of nanoparticles is widely recommended for enhanced biocompatibility, non-immunogenicity, nontoxicity, and low protein adsorption. PEGylation also increases the blood circulation time and cellular uptake of the nanoparticles. The surface characteristics of nanoparticles (PTX-GNP) were examined using FESEM. The nanoparticles had a spherical shape with a smooth surface (Fig. 1). In dry form, the nanoparticles were separated from each other and had free flowing properties. The PEGylation of nanoparticles (PEG-PTX-GNP) did not affect their surface characteristics.

The size, zeta potential and PDI are important factors in determining the stability and performance of an NP. The NP size between 60 and 200 nm is considered suitable for cancer therapy as it enhances the EPR effect in passive targeting. Up to 80% of aerosol particles measuring less than 1 μm in diameter can be easily exhaled after inspiration, without being deposited. Particles smaller than 100 nm can effectively deposit in alveolar region leading to improved chemotherapy outcomes for sensitive and resistant non-small cell lung cancer (Mehrotra et al., 2011). Both PTX-GNP and PEG-PTX-GNP nanoparticles are of appropriate size to protect against RES by avoiding endocytosis and destruction. Zeta potential values between −30 mV and +30 mV are considered ideal for achieving better physical stability of the nanoparticle dispersion and suspension. Both PT-GNP and PEG-PTX-GNP had ideal zeta potential values to maintain their stability (Du et al., 2009). PDI of both types of nanoparticles were also found within acceptable range (less than 0.3), which indicated homogeneous dispersion of the NPs. The narrow PDI of nanoparticles may be due to the presence of PEG chains that prevent nanoparticle aggregation. Overall, the prepared nanoparticles had improved stability, PDI, zeta potential and particle size. Table 2 shows all physical parameters of the NPs.

The EE of PTX in nanoparticles was determined by calculating the free (non-entrapped) drug content in supernatant using HPLC. The EE of all formulations was between 80.50 and 98.12%. A direct relationship was observed between the concentration of gelatin and EE. In this study, we have used gelatin Type-B, 175 g Bloom. Gelatin, due to its gelling and sticky properties, has sufficient strength to hold the drug inside the nanoparticles, which increased the EE and DL of PTX (Karthikeyan et al., 2013). The observed DL within nanoparticle for-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Physical characterization of nanoparticles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batch Code</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Particle size</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zeta potential</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Type of NP</strong></th>
<th>PEGylated PTX-loaded gelatin NP (PEG-PTX-GNP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batch Code</strong></td>
<td><strong>GNP1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Particle size</strong></td>
<td>92.25 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDI</strong></td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zeta potential</strong></td>
<td>20.10±3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. PTX entrapment efficiency and loading in gelatin nanoparticles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of NP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batch Code</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% EE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% Yield</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Type of NP</strong></th>
<th>PTX loaded gelatin NP (PT-GNP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batch Code</strong></td>
<td><strong>NP1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% EE</strong></td>
<td>80.50 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% Yield</strong></td>
<td>78.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mulations was between 20.18 and 32.11%. The yield of NPs ranged from 78.20% to 95.12% and increased with increasing concentration of gelatin. Table 3 shows comparative EE, DL and yield of NP's.

PTX release from PTX-GNP and PEG-PTX-GNP

*In vitro* PTX release form PTX-GNP and PEG-PTX-GNP was studied in pH 7.4 saline phosphate buffer. NP4 formulation showed initial burst release of 20.13% from PTX-GNP nanoparticles followed by a sustained release of almost 67% over a period of 40 hours. The slow and gradual release was observed due to the greater amount of gelatin used in the nanoparticle preparation as compared to other formulations such as NP1, NP2 and NP3. Formulations containing lower amount of gelatin did not show satisfactory PTX release profile as shown in Fig. 2.

The PEGylated PTX-loaded nanoparticles (PEG-PTX-GNP, GNP4) showed similar PTX release profile to that of NP4 formulation (Fig. 2). This observation clearly indicated that PEGylation of nanoparticles did not alter the release characteristics of the pure PTX loaded gelatin nanoparticles. Sustained and gradual release of a drug is always a desirable feature in cancer treatment to provide a longer duration of therapeutic action. PEGylated NPs showed a desired PTX release pattern that is required for the cancer treatment.

**Cytotoxicity assay study**

The cytotoxicity of pure PTX, blank PEGylated gelatin nanoparticles (BPEG-GNP), PTX-GNP and PEG-PTX-GNP were determined in the human SCLC cell line L132. All formulations were incubated with the cells for the period of 24 hours at two different concentrations. Cytotoxicity was calculated based on cell viability. Among all these formulations, PEG-PTX-GNP showed the maximum antiproliferative activity (i.e., cytotoxicity) over the period of 24 hours, followed by PTX-GNP, pure PTX and BPEG-GNP. The cytotoxicity was concentration dependent i.e., the higher the concentration, the higher the cytotoxicity. PEG-PTX-GNP (30%, 25.5% cell viability) showed nearly two-fold increase in cytotoxicity as compared to PTX-GNP (55.9%, 50.6% cell viability), as shown in Fig. 3.

PTX interferes with microtubule growth, which destroys the cell's cytoskeleton and shows the antitumor activity (Tran et al., 2014). In our experiments, pure PTX showed cytotoxic activity when compared to blank PEGylated gelatin nanoparticles (BPEG-GNP). After phagocytosis or endocytosis, the nanoparticles reach the acidic lysosomes, and if they contain the drug, its release takes place in a sustained and gradual manner.

**In vitro cellular uptake assay in L132 and 293T cell lines**

Cellular uptake assay was conducted in L132 and 293T cell lines over the period of 12 h at various NP concentrations, ranging from 0.03 to 1.0 µM. A linear correlation was observed between the NPs’ concentration and cellular uptake in both cell lines. In both cell lines, PEG-PTX-GNP showed the highest internalization, followed by PTX-GNP and pure PTX. PEG-PTX-GNP showed nearly two-fold higher cellular uptake as compared to PTX-GNP, as shown in Fig. 4.

The PEGylation of nanoparticles protects them from opsonization, surface aggregation, and phagocytosis, and prolongs their systemic circulation. It also increases PER effect for the long circulating NPs, providing unique opportunities for interaction with cell surfaces (Babu et al., 2013). These advantages of NPs’ PEGylation increase...
the cellular uptake of PEG-PTX-GNP when compared to PTX-GNP or pure PTX. The cellular uptake was found to be time dependent in all types of formulations in both types of cells. However, the saturation of cellular uptake was observed after 6 h of incubation with nanoparticles.

Figure 4. Comparative *in vitro* cellular uptake studies of pure PTX (PTX), PTX-loaded gelatin NPs (PTX-GNP) and PEGylated gelatin PTX-loaded NPs (PEG-PTX-GNP) in L132 cell line (A); in 293T cell line (B); averaged for both cell lines (C).

The significance of the difference between the various formulations was evaluated using SAS software. *P*<0.05. (*The results obtained in this study are statistically significant). Data presentation of mean S.D. of ±0.5

Figure 5. Tumor weight (A) and volume (B) suppression after treatment with formulations; Body weight (C) and survival rate (D) after treatment with formulations.

The significance of the differences between the various formulations was evaluated using SAS software. *P*<0.05 (*The results obtained in this study are statistically significant). Data presentation of mean S.D. of ±0.5
In vivo antitumor activity

Antitumor activity of the NPs was determined based on the measurements of tumor volume, tumor weight, body weight and survival rate of the BALB/c-nu/nu athymic mice injected with Li32 tumor cells. As shown in Fig. 5A, the smallest tumor in terms of weight (0.25 g) was observed in animals treated with PEG-PTX-GNP, followed by PTX-GNP (0.6 g), PTX (0.8 g) and the control group, which showed the largest tumor weight of 1.15 g. The smallest tumor growth in animals indicates the maximum antitumor activity of the drug and can be attributed to the higher internalization and accumulation of NPs in tumor tissue.

The control group showed the highest tumor volume (nearly 900 mm$^3$), while the PEG-PTX-GNP treated group's mean tumor volume was a mere 50 mm$^3$ and PTX-GNP treated group tumor volume was 250 mm$^3$ as shown in Fig. 5B.

The PEG-PTX-GNP treated group showed nearly 18-fold tumor suppression growth over the period of 24 days, as compared to the control group. This long-lasting tumor suppression was attributed to slow and gradual release of PTX from the PEGylated gelatin nanoparticles and enhanced cellular uptake and drug internalization in cancer tissue. In neither of the treated groups a reduction in body weight was observed, indicating that the administered PTX dose was well acceptable and tolerated (Fig. 5C).

The sustained and gradual PTX release form the NPs prevented accumulation and harm to the normal (non-cancer) cells. 24 days post-injection, the survival rate of animals in PEG-PTX-GNP treated group was a 100%, proving the safety and efficacy of the formulation. The control group showed the lowest survival rate of just 8.5% at the end of study (Fig. 5 D). Overall, PEG-PTX-GNP showed the excellent antitumor activity.

Figure 6. Pulmonary deposition of PTX at various time points.

In case of PTX-GNP, the pulmonary deposition was increased from 3.8 μg/g to 8.5 μg/g over 24 h post-treatment. The pulmonary deposition rate was highest (6.5 to 12.55 μg/g) for the PEG-PTX-GNP formulations (*$P$<0.05). The significance between the various formulations was evaluated using SAS software. Data presentation of mean S.D. of ±0.5

Pulmonary deposition study

The pulmonary deposition of PTX was determined in the animals treated with pure PTX, PTX-GNP or PEG-PTX-GNP. The mice were sacrificed at 1, 3, 12 and 24 h post-treatment. The maximum deposition associated with pure PTX was 1.85 μg/g after 1 hour, but later no increase in deposition was observed. Instead, pure PTX showed decline in pulmonary deposition over time. But in case of PTX-GNP and PEG-PTX-GNP formulations, a gradual increase in pulmonary deposition was observed over time. In case of PTX-GNP, the pulmonary deposition was increased from 3.8 μg/g to 8.5 μg/g within the period of 24 hours. The pulmonary deposition rate was the highest (6.5 to 12.55 μg/g) for the PEG-PTX-GNP formulations (see Fig. 6). Maximum pulmonary deposition of PTX is desired for the best therapeutic effect for
the treatment of NSCLC. The continuous increase in pulmonary deposition of PEG-PTX-GNP over time was the result of PEGylation and smaller particle size of the formulations (Sukumar et al., 2013).

Histopathology and immunohistochemistry of the tumor

A dense and crowded extracellular mass was observed in the tumors from the control animals, while it was missing in animals treated with either PTX-GNP or PEG-PTX-GNP formulations, which indicated the anticancer potential of the formulations (Fig. 7A).

The immunohistochemical analysis also confirmed the antitumor potential of the PTX-GNP and PEG-PTX-GNP formulations. The highest capase-3 levels were observed in the tumor specimens from the PEG-PTX-GNP-treated animals. Lower capase-3 levels were observed in PTX-GNP-treated group. These observations indicate a greater anticancer potential of PEG-PTX-GNP. Conversely, MMP-9 expression was significantly reduced in animals treated with PEG-PTX-GNP as compared to PTX-GNP treatment group. Therefore, it could be concluded that PEG-PTX-GNP had greater anticancer potential than other tested formulations. We propose that it was due to the PEGylation of NPs, which increased cellular uptake and internalization in cancer tissue.

CONCLUSION

The PEGylated NPs showed a gradual and slow-release profile upon systemic administration, enhanced encapsulation efficiencies, lower particle size, significant cytoxicity in L132 and 293T cell lines and an increased pulmonary tissue deposition and antitumor activity and lesser cytoxicity than non-PEGylated NPs. This study supports the potential use of paclitaxel loaded PEGylated gelatin targeted nanoparticles for improved efficacy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).
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