Changes in daily life reduce indoor exposure to selected endocrine disruptors in the home environment: a pilot intervention study*
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Increasing prevalence of lifestyle diseases raised global awareness about health consequences of human exposure to endocrine disruptors (EDs): synthetic chemicals that mimic natural hormones and affect the biochemical and endocrine balance. As home environment is one of the main sources of the exposure to xenobiotics – especially for pregnant women, infants and young children – health organizations emphasize the need of implementing lifestyle changes to protect human health and child development. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of introducing changes in daily life in lowering the exposure to selected EDs in the indoor home environment. Twenty-six healthy volunteers from 9 households from Gdansk (Poland) were enrolled and their home- and lifestyle-related exposure to EDs was analyzed using a designed questionnaire and algorithm. Urine and dust samples were collected before and after introducing the recommended lifestyle changes. The concentrations of selected EDs in the samples were determined using liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS/MS). This pilot study confirmed the ubiquity of harmful chemicals in the home environment and the importance of exposure related to a daily routine. Importantly, it proved that lifestyle modifications implemented by participants led to a significant decrease in both, their home-related exposure to EDs, as well as in urine concentrations of these chemicals. It also demonstrated a need for determining EDs exposure and introducing lifestyle changes as a useful tool for prevention of lifestyle-related diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Increasing prevalence of lifestyle-related diseases raised global awareness about health consequences of human exposure to the so-called endocrine disruptors (EDs), and has recently become of great interest. EDs, similar in chemical structure to hormones, affect their proper functioning; thus, an exposure to their action (especially in the prenatal and early-life) may lead to increased risks of endocrinopathies, cancers, obesity, other metabolic and fertility disorders, preterm birth, developmental disorders, and epigenetic changes in subsequent generations (Gore et al., 2015). Examples of chemical structures of some EDs and natural estrogens are shown in Fig. 1.

Currently, almost 1,500 chemicals (e.g. pesticides, plasticizers, and industrial intermediates) are recognized as EDs and the number is continuously growing (ECHA et al., 2018). Some are used as additives in plastic, such as bisphenol A (BPA) and its derivatives, 4-nonylphenol (4-NP), and phthalates. Hence, they are commonly used in food packaging, plastic bottles, electronics, toys, accessories for children, cosmetics, cleaning agents, textiles, paints, polyvinyl chloride products, furniture, carpets, receipts, and many others (Konieczna et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2018). Cumulative effect of lifestyle, indoor and environmental pollution leads to constant EDs exposure (transdermally, by inhalation, and ingestion), which may have an impact on increasing a risk of hormonal, biochemical, and metabolic disorders (Rutkowska et al., 2020). As home environment is one of the main sources
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The similarity is seen in phenolic ring moiety; thus, such EDs are commonly called xenoestrogens.
of the exposure – especially for pregnant women, infants and young children – health organizations emphasize the need of implementing lifestyle changes to protect human health and child development (WHO-UNEP, 2012).

Therefore, the aim of this research was to evaluate the effectiveness of introducing changes in daily life in lowering the exposure to selected EDs in the indoor home environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Volunteers, sample collection and lifestyle changes. This research was a part of a “Gdansk – the city on detox” campaign of the European Interreg Baltic Sea Region (NonHazCity) project. Twenty-six healthy volunteers (14 males and 12 females) from 9 households from Gdansk (Poland) who lived in a house or an apartment that was built or renovated less than 1.5 years prior to the first sample collection, were enrolled in this study. Before the intervention, at least one household member was interviewed using the EDs exposure questionnaire designed by DetoxED Ltd. Participants were questioned about equipment in rooms (e.g. utensils, cans or plastics in the kitchen), cooking techniques used (cooking products in a loose form or in plastic sacks, etc.), and their personal life (work, cosmetics and household cleaning agent usage, medical procedures, and physical activity). Then, a designed algorithm converted the answers into points to estimate the exposure to EDs (low, medium or high). Modifiers were used according to the declared frequency of use of some items, as well as type and time since household refurbishment. Volunteers were obliged to report any relevant changes, if occurred.

A social media profile was founded by the campaign representatives, who organized regular meetings for volunteers during the period of 6 months. Participants were given advice about reducing their exposure to selected EDs and possible healthier alternatives to household cleaning products, cosmetics, and food packaging, just to name a few. It is worth noting that volunteers received recommendations only, and were supposed to introduce the changes according to their own concept and possibilities at home.

All participants were instructed about proper urine collection and parents were obliged to collect urine samples from their infants and small children. Approximately 60 mL of mid-stream morning urine was collected into a glass jar with a metal lid, previously sterilized in autoclave and washed with ethanol.

Volunteers were asked not to clean selected shelves for 3 days prior to dust sampling conducted by DetoxED Ltd. The samples were collected using a cellulose fiber from living room shelves located at a height of 120–150 cm nearby electronic equipment and then stored in glass vials for analysis. Urine and dust samples were collected first in November 2017 and then in June 2018 – when dust from the floor under the bed was also collected.

Endocrine disruptors analysis in urine and dust samples. The concentrations of six selected EDs in both, the urine and dust (bisphenol A-BPA, bisphenol S – BPS, -nonylphenol-4-NP, DEP – diethyl phthalate, DiBP – dibutyl phthalate, DEHP – bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate), were determined using liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS/MS, Shimadzu LCMS-8050, Japan), a method of high sensitivity for quantification of phenolic compounds in urine and environmental samples. All procedures, based on protocols published by (Liao et al., 2012; Guedes-Alonso et al., 2016), were conducted with caution as to not to contaminate the samples with any EDs. Possible contamination from sample processing was evaluated by analysing a reagent blank (distilled water). The limit of detection (LOD) of EDs in urine was 0.5 ng/mL. Values below the LOD were discarded.

Statistics. As it was impossible to conduct the second sampling in two households, eventually only results obtained from 7 families (n=22) that provided samples were included in the analysis. Quantitative data, based on protocols published by (Liao et al., 2012; Guedes-Alonso et al., 2016), were conducted with caution as to not to contaminate the samples with any EDs. Possible contamination from sample processing was evaluated by analysing a reagent blank (distilled water). The limit of detection (LOD) of EDs in urine was 0.5 ng/mL. Values below the LOD were discarded.

Data were statistically analyzed using Statistica 13.3 software (StatSoft, Poland). To verify normal distribution of quantitative data, a Shapiro-Wilk test was performed. The analysis of non-normally distributed variables was performed using non-parametric tests (Mann Whitney U test, Wilcoxon pairs order test). The P-value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Quantifiable EDs levels were found in 100% of urine (n=22) and dust (n=7) samples at the beginning of the project. After 6 months from implementing lifestyle...
DISCUSSION

In our pilot study, we measured urinary EDs concentrations, which reflect recent exposure and EDs presence in participants’ home environment by determining EDs dust concentration. Our findings were consistent with the results of other researchers who proved the presence of EDs in most of the urine (Larsson et al., 2014). Additionally, Larsson and coworkers (Larsson et al., 2017) also revealed correlation between selected EDs concentrations and certain foods and hygiene products (Larsson et al., 2014). However, in our study we not only confirmed the ubiquity of harmful chemicals, but also proved that lifestyle changes undertaken by participants led to a significant decrease in their home-related exposure to EDs and in urine concentrations of these compounds. This is a relevant outcome as the participants, also the youngest children and infants, were constantly exposed to a mixture of EDs that may interact with each other and trigger unpredictable biological effects (Gaudriault et al., 2017). Most of the studies conducted in this area were comparably short-term (Harley et al., 2017) or based only on nutritional interventions (Szybiak et al., 2017) rather than on lifestyle changes.

This study was a part of the European Interreg Baltic Sea Region Program of 18 collaborating partners in the Baltic Sea Region. It aimed to identify and prioritize the sources of hazardous substances and contribute to the development of Chemical Action Plans to reduce their emissions. Considering potential adverse effects of the exposure to human health, including hormonal, biochemical, and metabolic disruptions, it is crucial to introduce lifestyle modifications and reduce contact with EDs. Additionally, it is beneficial not only to minimize the use of products being a source of harmful chemicals, but also to provide the information: where to find or how to prepare healthier substitutes and solutions that minimize the impact of EDs on human health.
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